

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/624,573		07/23/2003	Jun Yura	240478US3 DIV 6618	
22850	7590	10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, S		MCCLELLANI	COMPTON, ERIC B		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	ua, va	22317		3726	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,573	YURA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eric B. Compton	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3)□							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/874,990. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. 4,768,050 to Beery in light of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Beery discloses a method of producing a heating roller (developer roller 10), comprising:

providing a core of hollow cylinder form ("first roll 10 which is hollow, or formed like a shell ... Col.5, lines 42-43.); and

forming an outer circumference surface of the core; wherein a thickness of the core is greater in a center portion thereof than in end portions thereof.

It will be noted, in reference to FIG. 2, that the interior surface of the roll 10 is partially tapered, leading first from a relatively straight section 45 [where the thickness is greater than the end portions], including an intermediate tapered section 46 and an end relief section 48. The taper provides for the controlled deflection of the shell portion of the roll 10 when loaded by the application of a downward force, in the direction of the arrows 50, on the bearings 40 at the ends of the roll, as illustrated in FIG. 2. The taper or contouring of the internal surface of the roll 10 provides for control of the moment of inertia, such that an approximately uniform pressure distribution may be defined along the nip between the roll pairs throughout the working zone 42. The matching of the rolls 10 and 12 is affected by considering the basic theory of beam bending, the deflections caused by shear stresses, the changes in cross-section at axial nodal positions, and the local effects consisting of deflections of the

Art Unit: 3726

thin-walled portion of the roll 12. It has been found helpful to utilize the finite element analysis method in calculating the expected deflections.

Col. 6, lines 1-21 (emphasis added). "[A] uniform force may be created between the roll pairs by suitably tapering the wall thickness of the first roll form a maximum thickness at the central region to a lesser thickness at the axial outer portions of the working zone thereof." Col. 3, lines 51-55.

However, Beery does not explicitly disclose how the roller is forming, nor specifically the steps of drawing the core nor cutting the outer surface to form the roller.

AAPA, as found of pages 1-2 of the specification, discloses conventional methods of forming rollers of this type. AAPA notes that it is known in the art to thinning, *i.e.*, drawing the core to decrease heat capacity. Section [0004]. Furthermore, AAPA notes it is known to cut the outer circumference surface of the center portion to form a contour in the nip to reduce wrinkles. Sections [0004-0005].

Regarding claim 1, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have produced the heating roller of Beery by drawing the core and cutting the outer surface, in light of the teachings of AAPA, in order to form the roller having reduced heat capacity and to reduce wrinkles.

Regarding claim 2, as shown in Figure 2 of Beery, the core is formed such that the inside diameter of the core is smaller in the center portion thereof than in the both end portions thereof.

Art Unit: 3726

Regarding claim 3, as shown in Figure 2 of Beery, core is formed such that an outside diameter of the core is substantially constant in a shaft direction of the core. See Col. 5, lines 43-44.

Regarding claim 4, the outside diameter of the core is smaller in the center portion thereof than in the end portions thereof. See Col. 7, lines 49-52 (disclosing the roll may be formed to have a positive camber); see also Figure 2 (showing gap at 94).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Compton whose telephone number is (703) 305-0240. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter B. Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Compton

Patent Examiner